

we see any situation worse in -- Bushnell  
to lead off #4

JB - Then post. is unlikely to get any closer  
to Son ... instant protection

They are playing short-term games --  
only looking a present rather than future problems..

Game in UN does bother us -

Originally wanted to keep Montanaro from  
using Son - Cuban against them - This has  
developed into cooperative work take-off

In coming months they will have had  
time to try any further action due to Videla  
retirement -- at least until decision in

Sept -- see early next year -- we can't  
make major decision -

WHL consideration is main issue - Argentine  
from consideration -- they might do some  
minor things.

Main short-term consideration is pain  
when they have been supplied

LH - Is HR better than?

Department of State, A/GIS/IPS/SRP

Change to

☒ Release ( ) Excise ( ) Deny ( ) ☒ Declassify

Exemptions b ( ) ( ) E.O. 13526 25x ( ) ( )

Declassify after

With concurrence of:

obtained not obt.  
Date 12-14-16

IPS by

*[Signature]*

JD - Major improvement - but some skills  
system remains in place

They have talked most they want still  
Pol. prisoners now less -

LH - Ten. police (M44) will continue through  
transition to new post.

We have a great com. picture that we  
should maximize

Ho - I think best has been done - they will  
follow same policy next year - floor of 4mm, no  
ceiling - and limit

Qpr - Open

DA - What is the issue? Overall tone or  
individual issues?

Will what change be circumvented?

Ho - Once Org. policy is clear (<  
(and follow) other conditions

and suggest in -

US - Agree. All involved agree if  
for. cap is paid

We have to find a way to ensure  
a l.g. policy success

DA Do we want to take some more  
against City? Or let it go?

the Former. He was given a hard time

GC We have no know. Will make  
situation worse

DA The City doesn't care about US City etc

we Real question is one of tone -  
paper also should go to LG to work  
out interpretation of tone.

The City will do anything to  
count our loss.

GC Don't blame them. We're facing  
them to Son.

He They are faithful to our canon or  
you - Mitt said he would fear us if  
all else =.

LH OFIC insurance would be important

He Discuss a UK forum

SK Not clear what options are - Son.  
are interested in nuc. corp - but a minimal  
activity to start -

Our role  $\leq$  Arg. -- don't want to expand  
unless they move on that. Pat - FSS (Hox)

Should we continue on marginal activities  
within law? Heavy water is key -

Do we want to supply ships, that could be  
related to a future NW paper

JB No track of what we are supplying for  
their research paper -- do we want to  
break our link? - (perhaps turn them  
to Sons) -- our hope is for progress with  
new Arg. activity next year -

Issue: Should we keep doing new +  
old to "minimize" things  
SK depends on items involved

Clay NP policy is bankrupt - do all  
we can under the law

SK Traction? Perhaps what they want  
is no problem. Look at specifics

we NP hasn't been abandoned

Clay: Effectively. Some are ready to jump  
in. Do self we can  
~~do that~~

JB Very few licenses pending

we Back to general topic - Lib  
more to middle option  
but useful as trends in HR which

is in part) - should try to speed H.K.  
bill however - politically unwise

Option B needs fine tuning from LG -  
what can we do in coming months to improve  
relationship -

Perhaps in 1991 we could have a  
visit to us during at least -

LA Encouraged by your survey. Trends important.  
Agree on Option B.

DA Program to improve relations needed -  
Options are too static. Obj:

1) Maintain a working rel. & bring about  
that access

2) Cooperation - we want to get the  
contact & should provide

3) Get change mil. lgs. now

4) Major arms work coming - will be  
signal to them. Rebate to other policy issue

we Summary -- No start - the ke

After

1) Single HR papers to each hand  
improvement

2) Over next 6 months, will be continued  
by the other person

3) Also whether we need to react on Jan  
start period

4) If HR continues to improve -- feel  
improvement in sales & new signs  
to work out PTHH

Need to be in BRC (less military) --  
Get up and if no. papers & benchmarks --  
But

No need to improve all drastically,  
immediately due to their action to us

Should make clear we are used

pick up pieces & new administration -- can

get for a while

Take opportunity of our govt

1) Stick it to this part but

2) Start to work & then pay

If we mean to improve we can use our  
input -

Get John C should be paid (less H.K) --

to all we can & milit -- certain mid. ads &

Reg. -- doing them to Gov. -- This are our

greatest problem -- Small things are interfering

Partly Support the -- we can have



opportunities to make contact - would like to  
pursue -- e.g. Galtieri initiative (under  
review by Spill) -- he is prepared to come

JB - Mixed signals - he would like  
initiation - get off visit - question is one of  
timing - it will be involved in Arg. politics -

Do we want to reward their specific  
behavior?

He be careful about assuming that they will  
do what possible in favor. Conceivable they may  
have some marginal flexibility still. We  
should take signals as proof. Then treat they  
accordingly

JB Announced and still in under - depends  
also on context

DA But we put it hard on them - will we  
let them back it?

He Nobody else is cooperating either  
Perry Galtieri - he was instrumental in Olympics -

SK Should maintain under rel. - but we  
should go back to trial of her if it undercuts  
our efforts in NP.

Carter Library

PAC 24

Box 102

PRC: Argentina, 5/14/80, 5/80